

Tax (Evasion), Inequality and Human Rights

Mark Herkenrath, Director

Alliance Sud - the Swiss Coalition of Development Organisations

Official Side Event of the 31st Session of the Human Rights Council on
"Economic Inequality, Financial Crises and Human Rights"

Palais des Nations, Geneva, March 9, 2016

Inequality and crisis resilience

- Poverty eradication is a necessary, but not a sufficient condition for sustainable development and the sustained fulfillment of human rights
- A large portion of households in the bottom 20%-40% of the income distribution tend to live above the extreme poverty line, but in a precarious situation of high vulnerability
- External shocks (food price hikes and financial/economic crises) may push these households into the poverty trap

Inequality and financial crises

- High inequality increases the risk of financial instability and crises
 - As the bottom 20%-40% have no purchasing power, demand for investment in the real economy is insufficient
 - The highly concentrated income (and wealth) of the top 10% gets invested in financial speculation, increasing the risk of yet another unsustainable financial bubble
- The inability of the real economy to absorb highly concentrated incomes may also be one of the main reasons why inequality leads to slow economic growth.
- Another reason is that inequality tends to produce social unrest.

Inequality and economic growth

- Economic growth does not generally lead to a more equal income distribution, but may increase inequality
- Conversely, inequality decreases economic growth
- Hence, non-inclusive growth is not sustainable (not even in an economic sense)

Some preliminary conclusions

- Inequality *increases* the risk of financial shocks and crises...
- ...and *decreases* the ability of households in the bottom 20%-40% of the income distribution to cope with the consequences
- Economic growth is not the answer to the problem; it may increase inequality, which in turn leads to slower growth

Fair and effective taxation – the “four R’s”

- **Revenue creation:** effective tax systems creates revenues to finance public goods
- **Redistribution:** progressive tax systems decrease inequality
- **Repricing:** taxes can be used to turn socially undesired behaviour more costly and/or subsidise desired behaviour
- **Representation:** “[T]axes are also the primary platform for political negotiations amongst a country’s stakeholders. They are part of the social contract between a state and its citizens.” (AfDB/OECD 2010, 79)

Tax evasion/avoidance

- The global network of secrecy jurisdictions (“tax havens”) still provides considerable opportunities for tax evasion and avoidance
- Wealthy individuals and transnational corporations are better equipped than others to use these opportunities (“hard to tax”)
- Tax evasion and avoidance create massive revenue gaps; states tend to address these gaps by
 - cutting down on social spending *and/or*
 - accumulating new debt *and/or*
 - putting a higher tax burden on “easier-to-tax” low-income households and small and medium sized local enterprises (thereby making potentially progressive tax systems highly regressive)

The good and the bad news

- The OECD has made substantial progress in directing secrecy jurisdictions (“tax havens”) towards increased transparency and measures against corporate profit shifting
- However, there is a risk that developing countries will be excluded from the benefits of this progress.
- The case of Switzerland is instructive:
 - It is still unclear if, when, and how, Switzerland will establish the automatic exchange of tax information with developing countries
 - Nor do we know if, or how, Switzerland will give these countries access to country-by-country reports of Swiss-based transnational corporations
 - Switzerland seeks bilateral tax treaties with developing countries that limit source country taxation of dividends, interest and royalties

Some recommendations

- In order to benefit from tax information exchange on request, developing countries should join the multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters
- As the benefits from information exchange on request are limited, secrecy jurisdictions such as Switzerland should urgently include developing countries in the system of *automatic* information exchange
- Low-tax jurisdictions should make transnational corporations' country-by-country reports *public* (or at least provide easy access to developing country tax authorities)
- Developing countries should avoid/renege tax treaties that limit source country taxation of dividends, interest and royalties

Annex: Facts and figures



Revenue losses

- Estimates of annual tax losses in developing countries due to:
 - internal „shadow economy“: USD 285 billion (Cobham 2005)
 - corporate transfer mispricing: USD 35-160 billion (cf. Fuest/Riedel 2009)
 - individual tax evasion: USD 50 billion (Cobham 2005)
- All estimates are contested (Fuest/Riedel 2009)
- However, there is consensus that tax-related capital flight is (several times) larger than ODA inflows



„Hard-to-tax“ taxes

- “Personal income tax commonly accounts for less than 10 per cent of all tax revenue in low-income countries – compared to an average of more than 25 per cent in OECD countries” (Keen 2012, 10).
- As wealthy individuals often bypass personal income tax, it is “widely recognized as essentially a tax on the labour income of those working in the public sector or large private enterprises.” (Ibid.)

References

- [AfDB/OECD 2010:] African Development Bank/Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2010. African Economic Outlook 2010. Paris: OECD.
- Cobham, Alex. 2005. "Taxation Policy and Development." OCGG Economy Analysis 2. Oxford: Oxford Council on Good Governance.
- Fuest, Clemens, and Nadine Riedel. 2009. "Tax evasion, tax avoidance and tax expenditures in developing countries: a review of the literature: report prepared for UK DFID." Oxford: Oxford University Centre for Business Taxation
- Keen, Michael. 2012. "Taxation and Development – Again." IMF Working Paper WP/12/220. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.